

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/705,181		HEGERTY ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	RANODHI N. SERRAO		2141	

All Participants:

(1) RANODHI N. SERRAO.

(2) Jeanpierre J. Giuliano (Reg. No. 55,206).

Date of Interview: 9 July 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

24

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

With primary's approval, the examiner proposed amendments to claim 24 in order to overcome possible 101 issues. The applicant agreed.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 10AM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)